



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,931	11/09/2001	Marc R. Amling	02580-P0006A 9959	
24126	126 7590 08/22/2006		EXAMINER	
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC			HENN, TIMOTHY J	
	986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
		DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/039,931	AMLING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy J. Henn	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	lav 2006.					
	<u> </u>					
, <u> </u>						
, ==-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 35-58 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) NI Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/039,931 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 22 May 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the supplemental response filed, Applicant cancels the remaining claims and adds new claims 35-58 and argues that no new matter was added references Figure 3 and pages 5, 6 and 10. Claims 35-58 claim a video imaging system/method which programs a processor using a processor program and programs a configurable hardware device using a device program. However, the specification does not disclose the use of a processor program and a device program and instead discloses a single program (e.g. Figure 2, Item 32; Figure 3, Item 84; p. 9, h 20 - p. 10, I. 13). Instead the specification continually refers to "program" in the singular and never discusses two different types of programs. Therefore, the amendments to the claims are considered new matter.

While the examiner agrees that Nakamura does not disclose programming a processor using a processor program, receiving a device program at the processor and configuring a configurable hardware device with the device program, these claim limitations are not supported by the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,931 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

3. Claims 35-58 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

[claims 35-58]

Claims 35-58 claim a video imaging system/method which programs a processor using a processor program and programs a configurable hardware device using a device program. However, the specification does not disclose the use of a processor program and a device program and instead discloses a single program (e.g. Figure 2, Item 32; Figure 3, Item 84; p. 9, I. 20 - p. 10, I. 13). Instead, the specification continually refers to "program" in the singular and never discusses two different types of programs. Therefore, the amendments to the claims are considered new matter.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. As to the merits of claims 35-58, the prior art does not teach or suggest the claimed features. However, the allowability of claims 35-58 will be revisited pending any amendments made to overcome the 35 USC §112, first paragraph rejection above.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/039,931 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Henn whose telephone number is (571) 272-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TJH 8/3/2006

> VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER